1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 05-44481-rdd In the Matter of: DELPHI CORPORATION, ET AL., Debtors. United States Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York May 7, 2009 9:12 AM B E F O R E: HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2 1 2 HEARING re Order to Show Cause on Expedited Motion. 3 HEARING re Motion to Approve Expedited Motion for Interim and 4 Final Order Ratifying and Approving Debtors' Entry Into Fourth 5 Amendment to Amended and Restated DIP Credit Agreement and 6 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Security and Pledge 7 Agreement. 8 9 HEARING re Order to Show Cause on Motion for Interim and Final 10 11 Order Authorizing Debtors to (I) Enter Into Third Amendment To Accommodation Agreement With Certain Participating DIP Lenders. 12 13 HEARING re Motion for Interim and Final Order Authorizing 14 Debtors to (I) Enter Into Third Amendment to Accommodation 15 Agreement with Certain Participating DIP Lenders. 16 17 HEARING re Proposed Off-Omnibus Hearing Agenda 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 Transcribed by: 25 Sharona Shapiro

516-608-2400

		Py 3 01 10		
			3	
1				
2	APF	PEARANCES:		
3	SKADD	DEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP		
4		Attorneys for Debtors		
5		333 West Wacker Drive		
б		Chicago, Illinois 60606		
7				
8	BY:	JOHN WM. BUTLER, ESQ.		
9		RON MEISLER, ESQ.		
10		JOHN LYONS, ESQ.		
11				
12	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP			
13		Attorneys for Debtors		
14		4 Times Square		
15		New York, New York 10036		
16				
17	BY:	KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ.		
18		THOMAS MATZ, ESQ.		
19				
20	LATHA	AM & WATKINS, LLP		
21		Attorneys for Creditors' Committee		
22		885 Third Avenue		
23		New York, New York 10022		
24				
25	BY:	MICHAEL RIELA, ESQ.		

```
4
 1
      APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2
      KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
 3
            Attorneys for PBGC
            101 Park Avenue
 4
 5
            New York, NY 10178
 6
 7
           CRAIG A. WOLFE, ESQ.
      BY:
 8
 9
      DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL
10
            Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as DIP Agent
11
            450 Lexington Avenue
            New York, NY 10017
12
13
14
      BY:
           BRIAN M. RESNICK, ESQ.
15
            DONALD S. BERNSTEIN, ESQ.
16
17
      WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
18
            787 Seventh Avenue
            New York, NY 10019
19
20
21
      BY: MARC ABRAMS, ESQ.
22
23
      JOHN MINKE, ESQ. (Telephonically)
24
25
```

```
5
      APPEARANCES: (continued)
 1
 2
      U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 3
           Office of the United States Trustee
 4
           33 Whitehall Street
           21st Floor
 5
 6
           New York, NY 10004
 7
      BY: BRIAN S. MASUMOTO, ESQ.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

6 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay. Delphi 3 Corporation. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, Jack Butler and Kayalyn 4 Marafioti on behalf of the debtors for this off-omnibus 5 hearing. Good morning. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, on the agenda that was filed 8 for this there were four matters filed. 9 First the two first matters, the steering option 10 11 exercise motion at docket number 16410 and the GM arrangement fourth and fifth approval motion at docket number 16411, have 12 been adjourned by a prior agreement to the May 21st omnibus 13 hearing. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 16 MR. BUTLER: The other two matters are both financing matters. Both have been brought on for hearing today on an 17 interim basis and both with final hearings at the May 21st 18 19 omnibus hearing and both have been brought on by orders to show 2.0 cause. The first matter is the fourth amendment to the DIP 21 credit agreement motion at docket number 16587 that was brought 22 23 on for hearing by an order to show cause dated April 29, 2009 at docket number 16586. The debtors have complied with the 24 notice provisions of that order and that evidence has been 25

filed by affidavit of service at docket number 16595.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, in accordance with the terms of the fourth credit agreement amendments, we're seeking interim ratification and approval of these amendments subject to the final hearing on May 21st. And these amendments have a number of purposes, but the principal purpose of which is to amend the DIP agreements in a manner that will allow the debtors to participate in the Auto Supplier Support Program that was announced by the U.S. Treasury as it relates to Chrysler Corporation.

7

The debtors are not currently seeking to sell receivables to the SPV established by General Motors Corporation under the same program because we have, essentially, our own private arrangements with General Motors through the private temporary accelerated payment agreement with GM that was entered into back in December of last year and amended in January of this year which Your Honor has previously approved.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BUTLER: But with respect to the Chrysler program, we do need to amend the DIP credit agreement and the related security and pledge agreement to permit the transfer of the Chrysler receivables through this program and to grant first priority security interests in those receivables to Chrysler SPV.

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 516-608-2400

2.0

I am pleased to report that we did receive sufficient DIP lender approval to enter into these amendments, should Your Honor approve them on an interim and final basis. But I would also indicate to Your Honor that while we have applied to sell our eligible receivables to Chrysler SPV, that application is still pending with Citibank, the third party servicer, as are, as I'm told, a number of other applications from other suppliers that have not yet been processed.

There has been an intervening event since we filed this motion, and that is the Chapter 11 filing of Chrysler and certain of its subsidiaries which occurred in this district on April 30th and is now pending before Judge Gonzalez at case number 09-50002 on an administratively consolidated basis.

In that Chapter 11 case, one of the first day motions that was filed at Chrysler docket number 41 was a motion to pay certain pre-petition claims of essential suppliers and continue certain supplier related programs. Within that authority was an authority to include participation in the Auto Supplier Support Program. Judge Gonzalez approved that motion on an interim basis on May 5th, subject to a final hearing on May 20th, the day before our final hearing on this. And that is at Chrysler docket number 348.

Just by way of disclosure to Your Honor, the Chrysler supplier motion does state, at paragraph 48, that there is the potential for the Supplier Support Program to be terminated by

2.0

the U.S. Treasury as a result of the cases and the supplier order that Chrysler is seeking on a permanent basis. But it also indicates that Chrysler anticipates that the Supplier Support Program will remain open for a period of time postpetition, pursuant to the terms of forbearance agreements being negotiated between the U.S. Treasury Auto Task Force and the Chrysler SPV.

Therefore, Your Honor, we believe that this motion is still germane. We expect to move forward, and our anticipation is to participate in either or both the Auto Supplier Program and/or the essential supplier order as it becomes final and as we continue to work with Chrysler. Otherwise, Your Honor, we'll rely on the papers for the justifications for this. We think there is adequate business judgment. We think it's appropriate to be able to do so under these circumstances.

There is another provision which I want to call out to the Court in this order, which is unrelated to the Chrysler matter which is actually adding a new section 8.09 to the credit agreement. This is viewed, I think, by the lenders as a technical amendment to call out what they believe to be the case but want to clarify. And that is that it authorizes -- excuse me, I think it's 8.10. It authorizes and eliminates any -- the agent to perform in a way that alleviates any potential obstacle to the agent's recovery on the DIP facility in certain foreign jurisdictions if that should become

necessary.

2.0

I refer to this provision as the parallel debt provision because it provides for essentially the creation of parallel debt equal to the debtors' obligations to the DIP lenders to be owed to the agent in its individual capacity rather than as a representative, to eliminate the technical issues that could occur in certain jurisdictions without that understanding.

The purpose of this amendment is to facilitate the agent's recovery to recover on the DIP facility in accordance with the documents hereunder in the event that a foreign jurisdiction would not recognize the trust relationship By the way administrative agents and lending syndicates. And essentially, the reason that both the debtors and the lenders view this to be technical is it's simply -- essentially, by agreement, it essentially codifies in foreign jurisdictions what is the essential agreement between the debtors and the DIP lenders in this jurisdiction. And the debtors therefore thought it was appropriate to enter into that arrangement.

There are some other minor provisions in the amendments, including how they become effective and for the payment of fees and expenses. Those are all set forth in the amendment and in the motion. I'll otherwise rely on the papers, Your Honor, unless you have any questions.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't, but does anyone have

anything to say on this motion?

2.0

MR. RIELA: Your Honor, Michael Riela of Latham & Watkins for the creditors' committee. The committee is still reviewing and analyzing the motion and all the relief sought, including the parallel debt provisions. We have no objection to the interim order being entered today. But to the extent that we have any concerns or objections, we'll raise them at the final hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I've reviewed the motion, and in light of that review, I'm prepared to approve it on an interim basis and recognize that there may be contingencies to the debtors' ability to access this program. But it appears to me that the rationale for the program is one that fits appropriately with the debtors' relationship with Chrysler. So I'll approve it.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the other matter on the agenda this morning is the third accommodation amendment motion. It was filed at docket number 16599. It was also scheduled for hearing today by an order to show cause. That order was entered yesterday at docket number 16598. That order required hand delivery and e-mail delivery by 5 p.m., just the way it was entered mid-afternoon yesterday.

As Your Honor knows, the principal stakeholders in this case were present in a chambers conference on Tuesday. We reviewed the relief with counsel to the creditors' committee.

2.0

This is going to be sought with counsel to the creditors' committee and General Motors. At the conclusion of that chambers conference we actually -- in the way of also disclosure -- we actually completed e-mail service at 5:18, rather than at 5 o'clock. And we got the hand courier completed at 5:35 rather than at 5 o'clock. But those matters were delivered, and I don't believe any of the parties present in court today, which include representatives of all the major stakeholders, object to this going forward and being considered by Your Honor on an interim basis.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, this is the proposed third amendment to the accommodation agreement. That agreement was approved originally on December 3rd at docket number 14515.

You approved two subsequent amendments with supplements: one on February 25th at docket number 16377 and the other on April 23, 2009 at docket number 16575.

The purpose of all of these amendments and the purpose of this amendment are to create an opportunity and a runway for the company to negotiate with its major stakeholders, including General Motors, the DIP lenders, the creditors' committee, and since its intervention in these cases, the U.S. Treasury Auto Task Force, about an appropriate and hopefully consensual resolution to these cases. And the parties are working on that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

13

516-608-2400

We have appraised the Court of the progress that has been made and the activity in connection with those matters. And the reality is, for a whole series of reasons, we were not able to complete the delivery of a term sheet to the DIP lenders by May 4, 2009, which was a milestone. This milestone has been advanced sequentially since the end of February as we have continued to try to seek negotiations and move forward with those negotiations with the various stakeholders.

Based on time tables that have been outlined, and I think the parties are essentially in agreement with, in terms of indicating to each other that they think they can actually provide substantive term sheets, we're now asking Your Honor to approve a third amendment which moves the May 4th milestone to May 21st, two weeks out from today, and essentially resets the existing structure that we have. I'm not going to walk through that structure in detail, I think Your Honor's familiar with it in terms of how all the dates would work. The outside date of the accommodation agreement would be June 2nd. Under this arrangement there would be a twenty basis point fee. There have been work fee and expense provisions that have been agreed to. As those work letters are typically and customarily confidential, we provided copies to the Court of them. They're consistent with prior amendments in terms of what needs to be achieved here.

The only provision that I think that is different in

2.0

any material way is an acknowledgment by the debtors that in connection with the pursuit of the milestones in the third accommodation agreement amendment, the d4a have acknowledged that they will agree to continue to explore strategic alternatives for resolving the Chapter 11 cases. That is, after all, the debtors' fiduciary responsibility. We have been executing that responsibility on a basically 24/7 basis since the confirmed plan was not consummated back in April of last year. We're continuing to do so and we're continuing to work with the stakeholders to do that. And we will, of course, continue to observe and carry out our fiduciary responsibilities in these cases in connection with that process.

Your Honor, I think those are the material elements in bringing this before the Court on an interim basis. We need to obviously make this effective. Without it becoming effective today, or by the end of the day tomorrow, the reality is that the accommodation agreement period would end. Things are moving pretty fast at this point in these cases in terms of activity between the parties. This amendment, which was agreed to with the agent and other members of the steering committee, essentially yesterday, and was launched yesterday in a private side DIP lender call, those sheets, the signature pages are due this afternoon at 3 o'clock. And so we expect to obtain approval from our DIP lenders today.

2.0

And if Your Honor will approve this on an interim basis, we would expect that this would go effective this evening after we're able to obtain the remaining signature pages. In any event, it needs to go effective by the end of the day tomorrow to solve any issues under the accommodation agreement. And we'll move forward, continuing the principal activity in the case at this point, which is continuing to pursue our fiduciary responsibilities with our stakeholders, examining strategic alternatives and negotiating about those alternatives with the major stakeholders in the hope of having some definitive resolution proposed to the stakeholders by the week of May 21st in that period.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone have anything to say on this motion that's being sought on an interim basis? Okay. I've reviewed it, as Mr. Butler said. And as about half of you in the courtroom know, I've also had chambers conferences with key stakeholders and am familiar with, I believe, at least as of a day or so ago, the status of the debtors' efforts with the other parties to resolve the case.

And I believe, in light of all that, that this motion should be granted. Obviously, there is a tension between keeping the parties focused on a short term basis, as has been the case over the last month to two months, and the cost of that, both in terms of amendment fees and arrangement fees and the stress it places on the company. On the other hand, I

16 think it's important to continue to make sure the parties are 1 2 truly focus, as I believe they are, based on my conferences 3 with them on the difficult but clearly not insurmountable process of reaching an agreement here. So I think this is an 4 appropriate balance at this time in terms of giving the company 5 more time but keeping the parties focused. And based on what 6 I've seen and heard, the parties are dealing with each other in 7 good faith, and I'd just urge them to continue to do that and 8 to try to wrap up their discussions as promptly as practicable. 9 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 11 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Judge. 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, that's all we have on 13 today's agenda. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 9:28 a.m.) 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

	Pg 17 of 18			
				17
1				
2	I	N D E X		
3				
4	R	ULINGS		
5		Page	Line	
6	Motion approved on interim			
		11	15	
7	basis for debtors' entry			
8	into fourth amendment			
9	to DIP credit agreement			
10				
11	Motion granted	15	21	
12	approving third			
13	amendment to			
14	accommodation agreement			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

ı	1 9 10 01 10
	18
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Sharona Shapiro, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6	
7	
8	SHARONA SHAPIRO
9	
10	Veritext LLC
11	200 Old Country Road
12	Suite 580
13	Mineola, NY 11501
14	
15	Date: May 8, 2009
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	